PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Appl	licant's or agent's file	reference	FOR EURTUER A				
17606.7-D2646-54-ne		FOR FURTHER A		See Form PCT/IPEA/416			
International application No. PCT/EP2004/008574			International filing date 30.07.2004	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 31.07.2003		
inter	national Patent Class	sification (IPC) or n	ational classification and I	PC			
H04L29/06, H04W31/04, H04Q7/38							
	,			•			
Appl	licant	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u></u>				
	T-MOBILE DEUTSCHLAND GMBH et al.						
1.	This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.						
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.						
3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:							
			the International Bure				
	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).						
	Deyor	ts which supersed nd the disclosure lemental Box.	de earlier sheets, but w in the international app	which this Authority collication as filed, as i	onsiders contain an amendment that goes indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the		
	b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic corrier(o))						
	sequence	i iisting and/or tab	iles related thereto, in d	computer readable fo	orm only as indicated in the Supplemental		
	box neiai	ung to Sequence	Listing (see Section 80	22 of the Administrat	ive Instructions).		
4.	4. This report contains indications relating to the following items:						
	⊠ Box No. I	Basis of the opi	nion				
	☐ Box No. II	Priority			•		
	☐ Box No. III	Non-establishm	ent of opinion with rega	ard to novelty, invent	tive step and industrial applicability		
	☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity of			,		
	⊠ Box No. V	Reasoned state applicability; cita	ment under Article 35(ations and explanations	2) with regard to nove supporting such sta	velty, inventive step or industrial		
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docume	nts cited		· .		
	☐ Box No. VII		in the international app				
	☐ Box No. VIII	Certain observa	tions on the internation	nal application			
Date	of submission of the	demand		Date of completion of	of this report		
					•		
18.0	02.2005			03.11.2005			
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:				Authorized Officer			
Pielli		ιτιοτιτу: Patent Office - Gits	chiner Str. 103		Superior Landon E		
	D-10958 B	erlin 0 25901 - 0		Figiel, B			
		0 25901 - 840	•	Telephone No. +49	30 25901-473		
				i	- 3460		

10/566584 IAP9 Rec'd PCT/PTO 31 JAN 2006

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/008574

	Box No. I Basis of	the report				
1.	With regard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:					
•	publication of	 □ international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) □ publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) □ international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3) 				
2. With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on (replacement have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referreport as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):						
	Description, Pages					
	2-9	as originally filed				
	1, 1a	received on 27.04.2005 with letter of 26.04.2005				
-	Claims, Numbers					
	1-11	received on 27.04.2005 with letter of 26.04.2005				
	Drawings, Sheets	\cdot				
	1/2, 2/2	as originally filed				
	☐ a sequence listin	g and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing				
3.		s have resulted in the cancellation of:				
	☐ the descriptio☐ the claims, N					
	☐ the drawings,	sheets/figs				
		e listing (specify): elated to sequence listing (specify):				
4.	☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).					
	☐ the descriptio☐ the claims, N	os.				
	the drawings,					
	☐ the sequence☐ any table(s) r	elated to sequence listing (specify):				
	* If item 4 app	olies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/008574

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-11

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-11

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-11

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis,1(a)(i)

- 1. It is considered that independent claims 1 (method) and 9 (system) relate to new and inventive subject-matter (Articles 33(2) and (3) PCT), since the prior art does not disclose or suggest the specifically claimed transparent access authentication of subscribers.
- 1.1 The following document

D1: "Access security for IP-based services (Release 5)" 3GPP TS 33.203 V5.6.0, June 2003 (2003-06), pages 1-27,34, XP002264085 (acknowledged in the description),

is regarded as being the closest prior art and discloses a method for access authentication of subscribers (Authentication of an IM-subscriber; paragraph 6.1.1) connected to an authenticating network domain by a GPRS core network or an UMTS network (PS-Domain in figure 1; also figure 3), wherein the method using data which are assembled by a network layer during establishment of a PDP context in GPRS networks (IP address established during establishment of a primary PDP context is *used* for all further communication; this feature is disclosed implicit in document D1).

- 1.2 The problem with this prior art is that no authentication on application layer is foreseen in GPRS standard. Thus there is the need to provide a transparent access authentication of subscribers without requiring extensions on network or client side (description, page 1, lines 25-29 and page 2, lines 15-16).
- 1.3 The application solves this problem by providing the method with following steps:
 - when a Gateway GPRS Support Node (1) receives a context creation request it queries a registration server (2) to get an IP address assigned for the particular PDP context, and within the context the registration server (2) receives a Mobile Station ISDN Number, MSISDN, and/or an International Mobile Subscriber Identity, IMSI, of the subscriber and stores for each PDP context a pair of IP address and IMSI/MSISDN in a session database (3).

- a proxy server (5) is provided which checks IMSI/MSISDN from a registration server (2) session database (3) and IMSI/MSISDN from a application domain database (4) for match,
- if the IMSI/MSISDN pairs are matching, the proxy server (5) checks a subscribers IP address assigned in the IP network layer for match with the IP address assigned by the registration server (2), and
- the proxy server (5) parses the application layer for IP addresses given in the headers of registration messages and checks for match with the network layer IP address which was already checked for match with the IP address assigned by the registration server (2).

No prior art document anticipates the proposed solution.

- 1.4 Independent claim 9 contains the corresponding features as the method of claim 1 expressed respectively in terms of the system. The argumentation of the points 1.1-1.3 applies mutatis mutandis also for this claim.
- 2. Claims 2-4 and 6-11 are dependent respectively on claims 1 and 9 and therefore also meet the requirements of Art.33(2) and Art.33(3) PCT.